Table 1

| Ro 2- | leoh | ters of Acid | Salt c | Procedure | Recrystallized from | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield, } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | M.p., | Empirical formula | Analyse Calcd. | $\%$ Found | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Activ. } \\ & \text { ity } \\ & \text { atr. }=1 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3631 | II | Diphenyl. acetic |  | B | Pet. ether | 65 | 85-87 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | C. 78.77 <br> H. 7.51 | $\begin{array}{r} 78.68 \\ 7.43 \end{array}$ |  |
| 3631/2 | II | Diphenyl. acetic | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | D | Acetone ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 90 | 205-206 | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | C, 68.72 <br> H. 6.82 | $\begin{array}{r} 68.55 \\ 6.51 \end{array}$ | 1/10 |
| 4201 | II | Diphenyt. acetic | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | Acetone | 80 | 176-177 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 64.18 <br> H. 6.56 | $\begin{array}{r} 64.27 \\ 6.61 \end{array}$ | 1/50 |
| 3951 | III | Diphenyl. acetic | $\mathrm{CH}_{8} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | Isopropanol + ace. tone + ether | 80 | 165-167 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NBBr}$ | C. 63.46 <br> H. 6.30 | $\begin{array}{r} 63.04 \\ 6.33 \end{array}$ | 1/50 |
| 4569 | III | Benzilic |  | C | Acetone + ether + pet. ether | 15 | 156-157 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | C. 74.75 <br> H. 6.87 | $\begin{gathered} 74.39 \\ 6.51 \end{gathered}$ | $1-2^{5}$ |
| 4570 | III | Benzilic | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | ```Methanol + acetone + ether``` | 85 | 231-233 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 61.11 <br> H. 6.06 | $\begin{array}{r} 61.10 \\ 6.14 \end{array}$ | 1-2 |
| 3203 | IV | Diphenyl. acetic | $\mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | Ethanol + ether + pet. ether | 90 | 212-213 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 63.46 <br> H. 6.30 | $\begin{array}{r} 63.31 \\ 6.38 \end{array}$ | 1/5 |
| 3528 | IV | Diphenyl. acetic | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | Ethanol + ether | 90 | 205-206 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 64.18 <br> H. 6.56 | $\begin{array}{r} 63.82 \\ 6.43 \end{array}$ | 1/100 |
| 5205 | IV | Diphenylacetic | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | Acetone ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80 | 149-150 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 65.15 <br> H. 6.38 | $\begin{array}{r} 65.05 \\ 6.12 \end{array}$ | 1/10 |
| 4157 | IV | Diphenyl. acetic | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | F | Isopropanol | 40 | 171-173 | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 68.29 <br> H. 6.14 | $\begin{array}{r} 68.70 \\ 6.37 \end{array}$ | 1/100 |
| 3773 | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{CH}_{8} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | ```Methanol + acetone + ether``` | 90 | 240-241 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 61.11 <br> H. 6.06 | $\begin{array}{r} 61.25 \\ 6.33 \end{array}$ | 1 |
|  | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{CH}_{8} \mathrm{picr}^{\text {c }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | G | Ethanol |  | 182-183 | $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{C}, \\ \mathrm{H}, \quad 4.93 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.77 \\ 4.62 \end{array}$ |  |
| 5044 | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CS}^{\text {d }}$ | F | ```Methanol + acetone + pet. ether``` | 56 | 221-223 | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{NS}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{C}, 65.84 \\ \text { H. } \quad 7.08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.48 \\ 7.04 \end{array}$ | 1 |
| \$109 | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CS}^{\text {d }}$ | $F$ | ```Methanol + acetone + pet. ether``` | 20 | 209-210 | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O} 7 \mathrm{NS}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. } 65.84 \\ & \text { H. } \quad 7.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.93 \\ 7.29 \end{array}$ | 1 |
| 4174 | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | Methanol + acetone | 90 | 229-230 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NBr}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} . \\ & \mathrm{H}, \quad 61.88 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.86 \\ 6.19 \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
| 4665 | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | ```Methanol + acetone + ether``` | 90 | 251-254 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 62.60 <br> H. 6.57 | $\begin{array}{r} 62.92 \\ 6.51 \end{array}$ | 1 |
| 4550 | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | Methanol + acetone + ether | 80 | 246-247 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 63.29 <br> H. 6.80 | $\begin{array}{r} 63.14 \\ 6.60 \end{array}$ | 1 |
| 5084 | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | ```Methanol + acetone + pet. ether``` | 80 | 181-182 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NBBr}$ | C. 62.88 <br> H. 6.16 | $\begin{array}{r} 62.48 \\ 6.23 \end{array}$ | 1 |
| 4148 | IV | Benzilic | $\mathrm{CrH}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | E | Isopropanol ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 37 | 223-224 | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NBr}$ | C. 66.14 <br> H. 5.95 | $\begin{aligned} & 60.85 \\ & 5.82 \end{aligned}$ | 1/25 |
| 4344 | IV | Acetylmandelic | HCl | A | ```Ethanol + acetone + ether``` | 65 | 169-178 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}{ }^{\text {l }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. } 60.08 \\ & \text { H. } \quad 6.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.90 \\ 6.32 \end{array}$ | 1/25 |

${ }^{a}$ Dissolved in alcohol; solvent removed in vacuo. Residual oil crystallized by trituration with acetone. ${ }^{b}$ A solution of the base in the calculated amount of dilute hydrochloric acid was used for the pharmacological studies. "Picrate. ${ }^{d} d$ Camphorsulfonates of optical antipodes. Ro 2-5044 is the dextrorotatory, Ro 2-5109 the levorotatory isomer. Bissolved in methanol; solvent removed in vacuo. Residual oil crystallized by trituration with isopropyl alcohol. 'A direct oxygen determination (Calcd.: O, 18.82. Found: $\mathrm{O}, 18.75$ ) showed that the compound was the hydrochloride of the acetylmandelic acid ester and not of the mandelic acid ester. The carbon and hydrogen values of these two ester hydrochlorides are very close.
residual thick sirup was dissolved in methanol. To this solution acetone and ether were added, causing the precipitatation of crystals (needles, 2 g .) melting around $200^{\circ}$. Further additions of acetone, ether and petroleum ether caused precipitation of more material melting in the same range. These fractions were combined and recrystallized three times from a mixture of methanol, acetone and petroleum ether, giving finally 3.3 g . ( $56 \%$ ) of fine needles (Ro $2-5044$ ), having the constant melting point of $221-223^{\circ}$ and a constant specific rotation of $[\alpha]^{30_{D}}+30.5 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ ( $c 5$, in water). This corresponds to a molecular rotation of the $d-1-$ methyl-3-benziloyloxyquinuclidinium ion of $+128.6 \pm 2.9^{\circ}$.

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{NS}: \mathrm{C}, 65.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.08$. Found: C, 65.48; H, 7.04.

The mother liquors were concentrated and the residues crystallized from a mixture of methanol, acetone and petroleum ether, The lower melting fractions ( $180-185^{\circ}$ ) thus obtained were repeatedly recrystallized from the above solvents to yield finally 1.2 g . ( $20 \%$ ) of needles having a constant melting point of $209-210^{\circ}$ (Ro 2-5109) and giving a distinct mixed melting point depression with the other isomer. The specific optical rotation of this isomer was $[\alpha]^{\infty} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}-13.2 \pm 0.5^{\circ}(c 5$, in water). The molecular rotation of the $l$-1-methyl-3-benziloyloxyquinuclidinlum ion calculated from this value is $-125,9 \pm 2.4^{\circ}$.

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{NS}: \mathrm{C}, 65.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.08$. Found: C, 65.93; H, 7.29.
Procedure G. 1-Methyl-3-benziloyloxyquinuclidinium Pierate.-A solution of 0.4 g . of 1 -methyl-3-benziloyloxyquinuclidinium bromide (Ro 2-3773) in 10 cc . of water was added to a hot aqueous solution ( 50 cc .) of 0.4 g . of picric acid. The mixture was cooled and the precipitated oil crystallized.
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Compounds of the rare earth elements show the effects of ionic size on crystal structure with minimum interference from other factors. The ionic
radii decrease in a regular way as the atomic number increases, while the configuration of the outer electrons is changed relatively little. Among the compounds which we have studied are the oxychlorides of composition MOCl , where M is a rare earth element. Of these, structures have been reported only for $\mathrm{LaOCl},{ }^{1} \mathrm{PrOCl}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{NdOCl}^{2}$ which have the PbFCl type ${ }^{3}$ structure. Our work was stimulated by a correlation now being made by Koch and Cunningham of the thermodynamic properties of these substances ${ }^{4,5}$ with crystal geometry.

## Experimental

The oxychlorides of yttrium and all of the rare earth elements except promethium were prepared by Dr. Charles W. Koch and Mr. Richard J. Borg from very pure oxide stocks (similar to those described by Zalkin and Templeton ${ }^{6}$ ) by reaction with appropriate mixtures of water and hydrogen chloride vapors at elevated temperatures. Powder patterns were taken in cameras of radius 4.5 cm . with $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha$ ( $\lambda$ $1.5418 \AA$.) and $\mathrm{CrK}_{\alpha}(\lambda 2.2909 \AA$.$) radiations.$

## Results

The oxychlorides of the elements lanthanum to holmium have the tetragonal PbFCl type structure. The oxychlorides of thulium, ytterbium and lutetium have another structure which has not been determined. Erbium oxychloride is dimorphic, having been prepared with each of these two structures. The lattice dimensions and unit cell volumes of the tetragonal oxychlorides are listed in Table I, together with previous results. A plot of the unit cell volume as a function of atomic number is a smooth curve except for the usual cusp at gadolinium.

Table I

|  | Tetragonal Lattice Parameters |  | Vol. unit |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $a . \AA$ |  | $c, \AA$. | $\begin{array}{c}\text { cell. } A .\end{array}$ |
| LaOCl | $4.119 \pm 0.002$ | $6.883 \pm 0.004$ | 116.78 |  |
|  | $(4.117$ | $)^{a}$ | $(6.879$ | $)^{a}$ |$]$.

${ }^{a}$ Reference 1. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{~S}$. Fried, W. Hagemann and W. H. Zachariasen, This Journal, 72, 771 (1950). ${ }^{\bullet}$ Reference 2.

The PbFCl structure has atoms in the following positions of space group $D_{4 \mathrm{~b}}^{7}(P 4 / \mathrm{nmm})$
(1) L. G. Sillén and A. L. Nylander. Svensk. Kem. Tidskr., 53, 367 (1941).
(2) W. H. Zachariasen, Acta Cryst., 2, 388 (1949).
(3) W. Nieuwenkamp and J. M. Bijvoet, Z. Krist., 81, 469 (1931).
(4) C. W. Koch, A. Broido and B. B. Cunningham. Teis Journal, 74, 2349 (1952).
(5) C. W. Koch and B. B. Cunningham, ibid., 75, 796 (1853).
(6) A. Zalkin and D. H. Templeton, ibid.. 75, 2453 (1953).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{M} \text { in } 2(\mathrm{c}): 0,1 / 2, u ; 1 / 2,0, \bar{u} \\
& 2 \mathrm{O} \text { in } 2(\mathrm{a}): 0,0,0 ; 1 / 2 .{ }^{1} / 2.0 \\
& 2 \mathrm{Cl} \text { in } 2(\mathrm{c}): 0,1 / 2, v ;{ }^{1 / 2,0, \bar{v}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sillén and Nylander ${ }^{1}$ have given the parameters as $u=0.178$ and $v=0.635$ for LaOCl , while Zachariasen ${ }^{2}$ has given them as 0.18 and 0.64 , respectively, for $\mathrm{NdOCl}, \mathrm{PrOCl}, \mathrm{PuOCl}$ and YOCl.

Diffraction intensities were calculated for many combinations of values of $u$ and $v$ for both SmOCl and HoOCl . For both compounds the best agreement was found with $u=0.17$ and $v=0.63$. The limits of error are estimated as 0.005 and 0,01 for the two parameters. The reflections considered include $l$ values up to 8 . The interatomic distances are listed in Table II. The most interesting feature of these distances is that the two types of metalchlorine distance remain equal (within experimental error) in spite of the very short chlorine-chlorine distance which results. That is, the anion repulsion is much less than one would expect for conventional ionic radii.

## Table II

Interatomic Distances in SmOCl and HoOCl


The explanation may lie in a deviation from spherical symmetry of the chloride ion. This equality of metal-chlorine distances also occurs to within experimental error for $\mathrm{LaOCl},{ }^{1} \mathrm{PrOCl},{ }^{2}$ $\mathrm{NdOCl},{ }^{2} \mathrm{YOCl}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{PuOCl},{ }^{2}$ but in these cases the anion-anion distances are not as small because of the greater cation size.
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## An Empirical Quantitative Relationship between Structure and Molecular Rotatory Power
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The relationship between optical rotation and structure has been worked out in part by such wellknown methods as Hudson's rules of isorotation. However, a generalized treatment either theoretical or empirical, capable of predicting the magnitude of the rotation from the constitution of the active molecule is as yet lacking. The following describes an empirical relationship that enables the rotation of at least the simpler types of optically

